PRAGMALINGUISTIC APPROACH TO THE STUDY OF ARGUMENTATION IN MEDIA TEXTS

Muzaffarova Luiza Umarovna

Samarkand State Institute of Foreign Languages Doctor of Philosophy in Philology (PhD)

Baxtiyorova Dilnora Mirali qizi

Master of the Samarkand State Institute of Foreign Languages

Annotation: This article describe about Pragmalinguistic approach to the study of argumentation in media texts. It delves into the theoretical framework of Pragmalinguistics, emphasizing the significance of considering both pragmatic and linguistic elements in the analysis of how arguments are constructed and conveyed in media discourse.

Keywords: Pragmalinguistics, argumentation, media texts, discourse analysis, rhetoric, persuasion, media studies, linguistic analysis, social media, media discourse, language and media.

Introduction. The study of argumentation in media texts has become increasingly important in the digital age, as the proliferation of information sources and the rise of digital media platforms have transformed the landscape of public discourse. Within this context, the pragmalinguistic approach has emerged as a valuable framework for analyzing how arguments are constructed, presented, and interpreted in media texts. This approach emphasizes the interplay between language use and communicative context, shedding light on the persuasive strategies employed by media producers and the impact of these strategies on audiences.

Main part. In this article, we will explore the key tenets of the pragmalinguistic approach to the study of argumentation in media texts, highlighting its emphasis on cooperative communication, the influence of contextual factors, and the role of implicature and inference in shaping persuasive messages. By delving into these aspects, we aim to provide a comprehensive understanding of how language is strategically used to shape and influence audience attitudes and beliefs within the dynamic landscape of digital media.

The pragmalinguistic approach to the study of argumentation in media texts emphasizes the importance of considering both linguistic features and contextual factors when analyzing how arguments are conveyed. It recognizes that language is not used in a vacuum, but rather is shaped by the social, cultural, and situational context in which it is produced and interpreted. One key aspect of the pragmalinguistic approach is its focus on the cooperative nature of communication. According to this perspective, speakers and writers are seen as engaging in a cooperative effort to convey their intended meaning and to elicit particular responses from their audience. In the context of argumentation in media texts, this means that producers of media content strategically use language to persuade, influence, and shape audience attitudes and beliefs. By analyzing the linguistic features of media texts, researchers can uncover the ways in which arguments are framed, supported, and presented to achieve specific persuasive goals.

Furthermore, the pragmalinguistic approach emphasizes the importance of considering the broader communicative context in which media texts are situated. This includes taking into account factors such as audience demographics, medium of communication, social and cultural norms, and the wider

discursive practices within a given society. By examining how these contextual factors influence the construction and reception of arguments in media texts, researchers can gain a more comprehensive understanding of the persuasive strategies employed by media producers and the potential impact of these strategies on audiences.

Additionally, the pragmalinguistic approach highlights the role of implicature and inference in argumentation. Implicature refers to the additional meaning that is conveyed indirectly through language use, beyond the literal meaning of the words themselves. In the context of media argumentation, implicatures can play a crucial role in shaping audience interpretation and understanding of persuasive messages. By examining how implicatures are created and interpreted in media texts, researchers can gain insights into the subtle ways in which arguments are framed and presented to influence audience attitudes and beliefs:

1. Example: A political candidate's speech advocating for environmental conservation.

Analysis: The candidate may use language that conveys urgency and moral obligation, employing speech acts such as directives ("We must take action now"), implicatures ("Our planet's future is at stake"), and presuppositions ("It is our responsibility to protect the environment"). The use of emotive language and visual cues, such as images of environmental degradation, can further enhance the persuasive impact of the argument.

2. Example: A commercial promoting a new eco-friendly car.

Analysis: The ad may employ persuasive language and visual elements to create positive associations with the product, utilizing speech acts like declarations ("This car is the future of sustainable transportation"), presuppositions ("It's essential to reduce carbon emissions"), and implicatures ("Join the movement towards a greener world"). Additionally, the use of testimonials and expert endorsements can contribute to the overall persuasive strategy.

3. Example: A news report on a controversial social issue, such as immigration policy.

Analysis: The article may use language to frame the issue from a particular perspective, employing speech acts such as assertions ("The new policy will have detrimental effects on immigrant communities"), presuppositions ("Immigrants contribute positively to our society"), and implicatures ("The government's stance is morally questionable"). The selection of quotes and sources, as well as the tone and framing of the report, can also influence the audience's interpretation of the argument.

4. Example: A post on social media advocating for a particular social justice cause.

Analysis: The post may utilize persuasive language and visual elements to engage the audience, employing speech acts like requests ("Please join us in supporting this cause"), presuppositions ("It's important to stand up for what's right"), and implicatures ("Together, we can make a difference"). The use of hashtags, user-generated content, and interactive features can also enhance the persuasive impact of the argument by encouraging audience participation and engagement.

5. Example: An opinion piece in a newspaper arguing for stricter gun control laws.

Analysis: The editorial may use language to present a persuasive case for the author's position, employing speech acts such as recommendations ("We need to enact stricter gun control laws"), presuppositions ("Gun violence is a pressing societal issue"), and implicatures ("It's time to prioritize public safety"). The use of logical reasoning, evidence, and appeals to emotion can further strengthen the argumentative strategy.

6. Example: A PSA addressing the dangers of texting while driving.

Analysis: The PSA may use persuasive language and visual elements to raise awareness and promote behavioral change, employing speech acts like warnings ("Texting while driving can be deadly"), presuppositions ("Safe driving requires full attention"), and implicatures ("Put down the phone and focus on the road"). The use of real-life scenarios, testimonials, and impactful visuals can contribute to the overall persuasive strategy.

7. Example: A speech by a political leader advocating for a new policy initiative.

Analysis: The speech may employ persuasive language to rally support for the proposed policy, utilizing speech acts such as promises ("I will work tirelessly to implement this policy"), presuppositions ("Our nation is in need of this transformative change"), and implicatures ("This policy will benefit all citizens"). The use of rhetorical devices, appeals to shared values, and vivid imagery can enhance the persuasive impact of the speech.

8. Example: An advertising campaign promoting a new environmentally friendly product.

Analysis: The campaign may use persuasive language and visual elements to persuade consumers to purchase the product, employing speech acts like offers ("Try our eco-friendly product today"), presuppositions ("We all have a responsibility to protect the environment"), and implicatures ("Using our product contributes to a sustainable future"). The use of endorsements, testimonials, and before-and-after comparisons can further strengthen the persuasive appeal.

9. Example: A letter or email soliciting donations for a charitable organization.

Analysis: The appeal may use persuasive language to motivate recipients to contribute to the cause, employing speech acts such as requests ("Please consider making a donation today"), presuppositions ("Your support can make a meaningful difference"), and implicatures ("Together, we can change lives"). The use of personal anecdotes, statistics, and emotional appeals can enhance the persuasive impact of the fundraising appeal.

Results and discussion. The results of our analysis using the pragmalinguistic approach to the study of argumentation in media texts reveal several key insights into the persuasive strategies employed by media producers and the impact of these strategies on audiences. Through the lens of pragmalinguistics, we have identified the following significant findings:

1. Cooperative Communication: Our analysis has shown that media texts often employ cooperative communication strategies to construct persuasive arguments. By using language that is tailored to the expectations and knowledge of their audience, media producers aim to establish a cooperative relationship with their readers or viewers. This approach fosters a sense of shared understanding and encourages audiences to be more receptive to the presented arguments.

2. Influence of Contextual Factors: We have observed that the pragmatic context in which media texts are produced and interpreted plays a crucial role in shaping the persuasive strategies employed. Factors such as cultural norms, social values, and political climate significantly influence how arguments are framed and received. Media producers strategically adapt their language use to resonate with the specific contextual factors, thereby maximizing the persuasive impact of their messages.

3. Implicature and Inference: Our analysis has highlighted the pervasive use of implicature and inference in constructing persuasive messages within media texts. Through implicit meanings and indirect communication, media producers are able to subtly influence audience attitudes and beliefs. By leveraging implicature and inference, media texts can convey persuasive arguments in a nuanced manner, appealing to emotions and values while maintaining plausible deniability.The implications of these findings are far-reaching, shedding light on the intricate ways in which language is strategically used to shape and influence audience attitudes and beliefs within the

dynamic landscape of digital media. By understanding the pragmatic dimensions of argumentation in media texts, scholars, practitioners, and consumers can develop a more critical awareness of the persuasive strategies at play, thereby enhancing media literacy and promoting more informed and discerning engagement with digital media content.

Overall, the pragmalinguistic approach to the study of argumentation in media texts offers a valuable framework for analyzing how persuasive messages are constructed and interpreted within specific communicative contexts. By considering the interplay between linguistic features and contextual factors, this approach provides a rich understanding of the persuasive strategies employed by media producers and their potential impact on audiences. As digital media continues to shape public discourse, the pragmalinguistic approach will undoubtedly remain a vital tool for researchers seeking to unravel the complexities of argumentation in media texts.

The list of used literatures:

1. Sperber, D., & Wilson, D. (1986). Relevance: Communication and cognition. Oxford, UK: Blackwell.

2. Van Eemeren, F. H., & Grootendorst, R. (2004). A systematic theory of argumentation: The pragma-dialectical approach. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.

3. Cap, P. (2016). Pragmatics and the philosophy of linguistics. New York, NY: Palgrave Macmillan.

4. Fairclough, N. (2015). Language and power. New York, NY: Routledge.

5. Grice, H. P. (1975). Logic and conversation. In P. Cole & J. L. Morgan (Eds.), Syntax and semantics 3: Speech acts (pp. 41-58). New York, NY: Academic Press.

6. Jørgensen, C. (2008). Discourse analysis as theory and method. London, UK: Sage Publications.

7. Mey, J. L. (2001). Pragmatics: An introduction (2nd ed.). Malden, MA: Blackwell Publishers.